

---

**BUSINESS**

**9609/31**

Paper 3 Case Study

**October/November 2016**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 100

---

**Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2016 series for most Cambridge IGCSE<sup>®</sup>, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

|        |   |          |       |
|--------|---|----------|-------|
| Page 2 | Mark Scheme   | Syllabus | Paper |
|        | Cambridge International A Level – October/November 2016 | 9609     | 31    |

## General Marking Guidance

- Marking should be positive: marks should not be subtracted for errors or inaccuracies.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.
- Errors that are carried forward (e.g. when an incorrect numerical answer to one part of a question is used as the starting point for a calculation in the next part of the question) should not be compounded – use the 'own figure rule'.
- Poor spelling, handwriting or grammar should not be penalised as long as the answer makes sense.
- The main Scoris annotations to be used are K (Knowledge), APP (Application), AN (Analysis), EVAL (Evaluation). For each of these four annotations, the number of marks awarded for that assessment objective must match the number of times that annotation is on the answer.
- Only award EVAL if the candidate has also demonstrated APP.
- Other Scoris annotations that can be used are: Tick, Cross, BOD (Benefit Of Doubt), TV (Too Vague), REP (Repetition), NAQ (Not Answered Question), OFR (Own Figure Rule), SEEN, On Page Comment.
- Blank pages on a script must be annotated as SEEN.
- A blank space, dash, question mark and a response that bears no relation to the question constitutes a 'no response'.
- In Section B, candidates answer either Question 6 or Question 7. The Section B question that the candidate does not answer must be entered as 'no response'.
- Blank pages, or pages that contain crossed out material, must be annotated using 'SEEN'.

***This mark scheme includes a summary of appropriate content for answering each question. It should be emphasised, however, that this material is for illustrative purposes and is not intended to provide a definitive guide to acceptable answers. It is quite possible that among the scripts there will be some candidate answers that are not covered directly by the content of this mark scheme. In such cases, professional judgement should be exercised in assessing the merits of the answer and the senior examiners should be consulted if further guidance is required.***

***Application marks are not awarded for repeating material from the case study. Application is by answering in the context of the case or by using the information in the case to help answer the question.***

|               |  |                 |              |
|---------------|--|-----------------|--------------|
| <b>Page 3</b> | <b>Mark Scheme</b>   | <b>Syllabus</b> | <b>Paper</b> |
|               | <b>Cambridge International A Level – October/November 2016</b> | <b>9609</b>     | <b>31</b>    |

**1 Analyse the benefits to KA of publishing a social audit**

**[10]**

|         | Knowledge 3 marks   | Application 2 marks                           | Analysis 5 marks   |
|---------|---|---|--|
| Level 2 | 3 marks<br>Good knowledge shown of benefits of social audits                        | 2 marks<br>Issues well applied to KA          | 4–5 marks<br>Good use of reasoned argument or theory to consider benefits of social audits |
| Level 1 | 1–2 marks<br>Knowledge shown of social audits, CSR activities and/or their benefits | 1 mark<br>Some attempt to apply concept to KA | 1–3 marks<br>Some use of reasoned argument or theory to consider benefits of social audits |
| 0       | No creditable content   |   |  |

Note to examiners:

Disadvantages should not be rewarded

Benefits should relate to KA not stakeholders such as employees

Analysis requires consideration of benefits of social audits not CSR activities

Definition of a social audit: monitoring and/or evaluation of CSR responsibilities

Possible application might make use of the positive activities that Anne wishes to highlight:

Various stakeholders might be interested in a social audit – what benefits to KA might come from each of these knowing of CSR activities?

Publishing a social audit will highlight the positive CSR activities of KA and therefore:

- Raise awareness of positive CSR
- Consumers might buy on basis that KA acts ethically
- Potential investors may be more likely to make investment
- This may attract employees
- Employees may be more committed – KA does face labour turnover issues
- Reduce pressure group attention

How will this affect the brand image of the business?

Publishing a social audit may convince stakeholders that KA are going to change things that need improving and thus enhance reputation and branding.

|        |   |          |       |
|--------|---|----------|-------|
| Page 4 | Mark Scheme   | Syllabus | Paper |
|        | Cambridge International A Level – October/November 2016 | 9609     | 31    |

2 (a) Refer to Table 1. Calculate the actual contribution of the five shops to KA. [4]

Examiner note: \$ sign and/or units not required for marks to be awarded

Total contribution = Revenue – Direct costs 1 mark  
 Direct costs = 1.2m (reward 0.8 + 0.4 = 1.2) 1 mark  
 = 1.9m – 1.2m 1 mark  
 = \$0.7m 4 marks (OFR)

Calculation of profit based on full costing i.e. 1.9 – 2.0 = (0.1) No marks

Calculation of contribution using forecast data i.e. 2.3 – 1.3 = 1.0 is 1 mark (calculation must be shown)

(b) Recommend whether KA should close the five shops. Justify your answer using your results from 2(a) and any other information [14]

|         | Knowledge<br>2marks   | Application<br>2 marks                        | Analysis<br>5 marks  | Evaluation<br>5 marks   |
|---------|---|---|--|---|
| Level 2 | 2 marks<br>Good knowledge shown of contribution costing and / or relevant factors | 2 marks<br>Points well applied to KA          | 4–5 marks<br>Good use of reasoned argument or theory to explain factors influencing the decision | 4–5 marks<br>Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion    |
| Level 1 | 1 mark<br>Knowledge shown of contribution costing and / or relevant factors       | 1 mark<br>Some attempt to apply factors to KA | 1–3 marks<br>Some use of reasoned argument or theory to explain factors influencing the decision | 1–3 marks<br>Some judgement shown in answer and/or conclusion |
| 0       | No creditable content   |   |  |   |

L1 Analysis and Evaluation if only 2a or other information used  
 Own figure rule applies

Relevant points may include:

- A positive contribution is being made of \$700 000 per year. This contributes to paying fixed costs
- Leases have been signed until 2018. Therefore, may be difficult for KA to avoid paying these costs
- Costs such as marketing will continue even if the shops are closed
- The economic downturn and falling household incomes is partly responsible for the poor sales in the shops. However, recovery is expected between 2017–20 and this could result in the shops becoming profitable.
- However, these shops have been more significantly affected. The actual revenues are 400 000 less than projected. KA expected to be making a profit of 200 000 in 2016 from these five stores
- Issue of making staff redundant will create bad publicity and there is consideration of a social audit being published.

|        |   |          |       |
|--------|---|----------|-------|
| Page 5 | Mark Scheme   | Syllabus | Paper |
|        | Cambridge International A Level – October/November 2016 | 9609     | 31    |

- KA has sunk substantial investment into these shops. However, fittings could be reused elsewhere in the business
- Role of forecast contribution (\$1.0m for 2015–6)

**Evaluation:**

Positive contribution suggests that it is worth considering keeping the shops open. Much depends on whether KA can quickly eliminate the fixed costs after closure.  
Supported recommendation after weighing up advs and disadvs.

**3 (a) Refer to Appendix A. Calculate two profitability ratios for KA in 2016 [6]**

Return on Capital Employed = Operating profit / capital employed × 100 1 mark

Capital employed = 34 + 29m = 63m or 34 + 29 = 63 1 mark  
ROCE = 6 / 63 × 100 = 9.5% 3 marks

Operating profit margin = operating profit/revenue × 100 1 mark  
= 6 / 112.5m 1 mark  
= 5.3% 3 marks

Return on Equity = Operating profit / Shareholders' Equity  
Shareholders' equity = 34.0  
ROE = 6/34 = 17.6%

Gross Profit Margin cannot be calculated from available data  
Calculation of profitability ratios using 2015 data should be 1 mark per ratio

**(b) Refer to Appendix A and your answers to 3(a). Assess the usefulness of financial data to any two of KA's stakeholder groups. [14]**

|         | Knowledge<br>2marks   | Application<br>2 marks                            | Analysis<br>5 marks  | Evaluation<br>5 marks   |
|---------|---|---|--|---|
| Level 2 | 2 marks<br>Good knowledge shown of usefulness of financial data | 2 marks<br>Points well applied to KA              | 4–5 marks<br>Good use of reasoned argument or theory to explain usefulness of financial data to stakeholders | 4–5 marks<br>Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion    |
| Level 1 | 1 mark<br>Knowledge shown of financial data or stakeholders     | 1 mark<br>Some attempt to apply KA financial data | 1–3 marks<br>Some use of reasoned argument or theory to explain usefulness of financial data to stakeholders | 1–3 marks<br>Some judgement shown in answer and/or conclusion |
| 0       | No creditable content   |   |  |   |

|               |  |                 |              |
|---------------|--|-----------------|--------------|
| <b>Page 6</b> | <b>Mark Scheme</b>   | <b>Syllabus</b> | <b>Paper</b> |
|               | <b>Cambridge International A Level – October/November 2016</b> | <b>9609</b>     | <b>31</b>    |

Own figure rule applies

L1 A and E if only 3(a) or Appendix A referred to

L1 A and E if only one stakeholder discussed

Award K and P for stated comparison between actual and forecast data

Answers could include:

- Financial data includes data published in accounts
- Financial data includes ratios such as the two calculated and others such as liquidity, efficiency, gearing and shareholder ratios
- Stakeholders are groups with a direct interest in the operation of the business (any 2 groups allowable)

Identification of relevant internal and external stakeholders and their interest in financial data

e.g.

- Shareholders will be interested in measures of profitability as this determines the ability of KA to pay dividends. Gayles has invested substantial funds into the business and will therefore be concerned about the possible falling returns on their investment.
- Managers will be interested in accounting ratios as these help inform decision making but the selected information is quite limited
- Employees might be concerned for their jobs, pay rates or promotion opportunities
- Banks for lending decisions might focus on gearing
- Government for tax purposes.

Calculation of ratios can be rewarded with application e.g.

|         |      |       |
|---------|------|-------|
|         | 2016 | 2015  |
| Gearing | 46%  | 48%   |
| ROCE    |      | 14.8% |
| OPM     |      | 8%    |

**Evaluation:**

- Points made should apply to relevant shareholders
- More detailed financial data would be useful – full published accounts will contain much more e.g. share capital and long term loans and cash flow forecasts/statements
- Other years results and those from competing businesses would have allowed for more effective judgement of KA's performance
- Have they been window dressed which could make them misleading?

**4 Refer to Table 2 and other information. Discuss the changes KA could make to its current marketing strategy in response to difficult economic conditions. [16]**

|         | Knowledge<br>3 marks   | Application<br>3 marks                   | Analysis<br>5 marks   | Evaluation<br>5 marks   |
|---------|--|--|---|---|
| Level 2 | 3 marks<br>Good knowledge shown of marketing strategy or economic conditions | 3 marks<br>Points well applied to KA     | 4–5 marks<br>Good use of reasoned argument or theory to explain changes to the marketing strategy     | 4–5 marks<br>Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion    |
| Level 1 | 2–1 marks<br>Knowledge shown of marketing strategy or economic conditions    | 1–2 marks<br>Some attempt to apply to KA | 1–3 marks<br>Some use of reasoned argument or theory to explain the changes to the marketing strategy | 1–3 marks<br>Some judgement shown in answer and/or conclusion |
| 0       | No creditable content  |  |   |   |

Accept as APP answers that suggest only digital marketing has been used.

Answers must address marketing not other issues e.g. supply of materials, production methods, HRM

Definition: Marketing strategy – the plan of how the marketing objectives are going to be achieved and/or the integrated approach to blending the 4Ps

Answers could include:

- As KA is in the premium market this may make demand for their coffee more sensitive to changes in income. Marketing director has identified the falling household income as impacting sales at KA. The case material suggests that the income elasticity of demand is 1.67. If there is a continued fall in income or recovery is slow should KA respond by changing their marketing strategy?
- KA faces strong competition from multinational chains. Can they afford to continue to charge premium prices?
- Use of digital marketing has been successful but should KA consider increasing its promotional expenditure to boost demand and branding. This may depend on the promotional elasticity of demand.
- Their marketing strategy is subject to pressure as a result of the declining exchange rate and the increasing cost of coffee beans. With these cost pressures should KA change pricing or does it need to consider sourcing cheaper supplies from less ethical firms to keep control of costs. Perhaps KA should focus on short term promotional offers to boost sales but not do anything that undermines the brand image of its coffee shops.
- The outlook for both economic growth and growth in the coffee market suggests that demand will begin to increase in the near future. Thus is there any need to radically change the product offering or price of products? Possibly expand into other regions of Country Y
- Changing the target market and using appropriate marketing mix

|               |  |                 |              |
|---------------|--|-----------------|--------------|
| <b>Page 8</b> | <b>Mark Scheme</b>   | <b>Syllabus</b> | <b>Paper</b> |
|               | <b>Cambridge International A Level – October/November 2016</b> | <b>9609</b>     | <b>31</b>    |

### **Analysis**

Linked elements of marketing mix and/or objectives

Reasoned argument for method in mix elements

An individual marketing mix element and reason for changing it e.g. reducing price in response to more difficult economic conditions

### **Evaluation**

- How long will the economic downturn last?
- More information may be required to make decisions
- Validity of forecast information for future market behaviour NB 2015–6 actual differs from forecast in this case
- Weighing up alternative changes and/or a recommendation



**5 Evaluate the benefits to KA of having a mixture of employment contracts** [16]

|         | Knowledge<br>3 marks   | Application<br>3 marks                        | Analysis<br>5 marks   | Evaluation<br>5 marks   |
|---------|--|---|---|---|
| Level 2 | 3 marks<br>Good knowledge shown of employment contracts and their benefits | 3 marks<br>Points made are well applied to KA | 4–5 marks<br>Good use of reasoned argument or theory to analyse benefits of mixture of employment contracts | 4–5 marks<br>Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion    |
| Level 1 | 2–1 mark<br>Knowledge shown of employment contracts and or their benefits  | 2–1 mark<br>Some attempt to apply to KA       | 1–3 marks<br>Some use of reasoned argument or theory to analyse benefits of mixture of employment contracts | 1–3 marks<br>Some judgement shown in answer and/or conclusion |
| 0       | No creditable content  |   |   |   |

Explanation of a range of employment contracts – in case are permanent full time, flexible zero hour contracts and temp part time contracts.

Benefits of mixture of contracts:

- Aids workforce planning as provides flexibility to KA to meet variations in demand
- In peak periods of demand employees can be brought in to cover work schedules.
- In off-peak periods KA can reduce hours of employees and thus reduce costs
- Can use employees to cover for absences
- Reduces need to hire labour on temporary contracts to cover peak periods
- Key customer service staff (baristas) on permanent contracts tries to ensure stability of workforce (knowing customers) and keeps skills in KA
- As this is a competitive market KA needs to keep control of its costs
- With the economic downturn in 2016 KA may be able to avoid making employees redundant as demand falls. Then as the economy picks up in 2017 KA will not have to recruit new employees to deal with rising demand.

Explaining some disadvantages – e.g. some staff not motivated as not getting regular work, possibility of divisions in labour force due to differences in security, costs of administering the HMR/payroll system, possibility of not having enough staff at key times, difficulty in recruiting quality staff

**Evaluation**

- Evaluation may be shown by contrasting benefits with disadvantages and providing some weighting
- Ranking of benefits
- Short term versus long term implications of having mixture of contracts
- Contrasting benefits of having a mixture of contracts with uniform contracts

|         |   |          |       |
|---------|---|----------|-------|
| Page 10 | Mark Scheme   | Syllabus | Paper |
|         | Cambridge International A Level – October/November 2016 | 9609     | 31    |

**Section B**

|         | Knowledge 3 marks                           | Application 3 marks                      | Analysis 4 marks   | Evaluation 10 marks   |
|---------|---|--|--|---|
| Level 3 |   |  |  | 7–10 marks<br>Good judgement shown throughout with well supported conclusion/ recommendation, focused on the business in the case   |
| Level 2 | 3 marks<br>Good knowledge of issues shown   | 3 marks<br>Issues well applied to case   | 3–4 marks<br>Good use of reasoned argument or theory to explain answer | 4–6 marks<br>Some judgement shown in the main body of the answer <b>and</b> an attempt to support conclusion/ recommendation, focused on the business in the case<br>OR<br>effective and well supported conclusion/ recommendation, focused on the business in the case |
| Level 1 | 1–2 marks<br>Some knowledge of issues shown | 1–2 marks<br>Some attempt to apply issue | 1–2 marks<br>Some use of reasoned argument or theory to explain answer | 1–3 marks<br>Limited attempt to show judgement either within the answer<br>OR<br>a weakly supported conclusion/ recommendation with some focus on the business in the case  |
| 0       | No creditable content                       |  |  |   |

|         |   |          |       |
|---------|---|----------|-------|
| Page 11 | Mark Scheme   | Syllabus | Paper |
|         | Cambridge International A Level – October/November 2016 | 9609     | 31    |

- 6 Refer to Appendix B and other information in the case. Recommend to Anne which of the two strategic options for future growth should be chosen. Justify your recommendation [20]

Award K and P for stated comparison between data e.g. capital cost option 1 less than option 2

Answers may include

- Knowledge of strategic decision making techniques
- Decision tree analysis:
  - Option 2 has a greater chance of failure than option 1 but has the higher expected monetary value.
  - But who conducted the DTA and over what time period are the estimates. There is significant room for error with this technique.
  - What is the financial outcome of failure in both cases?
- The force field analysis also favours option 2.
  - The locations of the shops to be taken over are desirable and the business is well established. This takeover keeps KA in the market it knows best so will not require a different approach to the already successful format. However, the business is to be rebranded and refurbished.
  - The threat of dilution of the brand through option 1 may be of significant concern to directors.
  - Given the current state of the economy KA may prefer option 1 as it is less sensitive to weak economic growth. The development of the unique machinery will also spread risk for KA.
- Investment appraisal:
  - The capital cost of Option 1 is almost half of option 2.
  - Financing Option 2 may be difficult and could lead to much higher gearing.
  - ARR is higher for Option 1 and compares favourably with current returns.
  - Other data on investment appraisal would be useful e.g. payback
- Would Option 2 offer opportunities for redeploying staff if KA decides to close the 5 loss making shops?

### Evaluation

- How reliable is the data in Appendix B? It relies on forecasts
- Who undertook the strategic decision making techniques
- Views of key stakeholders including Gayles should be considered

|                |  |                 |              |
|----------------|--|-----------------|--------------|
| <b>Page 12</b> | <b>Mark Scheme</b>   | <b>Syllabus</b> | <b>Paper</b> |
|                | <b>Cambridge International A Level – October/November 2016</b> | <b>9609</b>     | <b>31</b>    |

- 7 Assume Anne has decided to close the five coffee shops. Evaluate how Anne could successfully implement this decision. [20]**

A possible approach is to use a framework for effecting change management – Kotters Steps or similar and apply this to the case. E.g. inform appropriate managers first and consult on change and how to communicate it to employees.

OR simply take a hierarchical top down approach. Announce decision and implement it as above, taking heed of only legal requirements e.g. on redundancy (These approaches are likely to be worthy of analysis marks)

Answers might include:

- Is there a need to consult at length with employees and any union representatives that are in place?
- KA may well have procedures in place for dealing with redundancy and would therefore need to follow this policy
- KA should explain carefully the reasons for the closures
- Will it be possible for KA to redeploy staff into other locations? This might make it possible for them to achieve closure without making any employees compulsorily redundant.
- Redundancy will be a key issue and KA will have to consider whether generous terms are to be offered. As the businesses are relatively new it is quite likely that redundancy payments required will be low.
- KA should manage public relations to minimise negative media publicity
- The premises are leased so KA will need to enter into discussions with landlords regarding ending the leases
- Should equipment be sold or relocated to other parts of the business
- What is the legal framework within which KA operates?

#### **Evaluation**

- Management will need to implement this decision with care as there is the possibility of damage to brand image and KA is also considering conducting a social audit.
- It would appear likely that there is benefit in ensuring that workers are consulted appropriately and that at the very least legal issues should be observed
- Effect on workforce morale, possibility of industrial action and its likely effects.